

1 25. RCA. Radio Corporation of America, with 1952
2 revenues of nearly \$694 million, was another large, technically
3 sophisticated company well situated to enter the computer busi-
4 ness during the early 1950s.* McCollister testified he believed
5 that throughout the 1950s, RCA's revenues exceeded those of IBM.
6 (Tr. 9553.)

7 a. RCA's Early Computer-Related Activities. Before
8 and during the early 1950s, RCA gained experience in computer-
9 related activities in three areas: Computing devices, vacuum
10 tubes and transistors, and core memories.

11 Scientists at RCA Laboratories "began a study of
12 electronic computing devices as far back as 1935" (PX 344A, p.
13 1) and in the early 1940s, RCA "'pioneer[ed] in electronic data
14 processing'" with its "'systems for anti-aircraft fire control'".
15 (PX 343, p. 3.) RCA produced its first computer in 1947 at the
16 request of the U.S. Navy. (PX 344A, p. 1.) This computer, the
17 Typhoon, "was a very large analog computer, one of the most
18 sophisticated for its time, and it was used primarily for simulation
19 studies". (Beard, Tr. 8652.)

20
21 * At that time RCA operated in five divisions. Nearly
22 three quarters of its total revenue, or \$507 million, came
23 from the manufacture and distribution of RCA Victor products
24 --phonographs, records, radios, televisions, etc.--and from
25 RCA Laboratories; the National Broadcasting Company had
revenues of \$162.5 million; RCA Communications had revenues
of \$17.5 million, and RCA's Radiomarine Corporation had
revenues of \$11.9 million. (DX 658, p. 6.)

1 By 1950 RCA had undertaken an "exploratory investigation
2 of a digital computer for commercial applications". (Beard, Tr.
3 8651.)

4 By 1952 RCA reported that a "substantial part of [its]
5 Laboratories Division activity . . . was devoted to research on
6 classified Government projects in such fields as electronic
7 computers". (DX 658, p. 17.) MIT selected RCA as one of the
8 finalists in the competition to produce SAGE computer systems.
9 (Crago, Tr. 85962; Hurd, Tr. 86463.)*

10 By the early 1950s, as a result of its involvement in
11 the manufacture of radios and televisions, RCA was one of the
12 nation's major manufacturers of vacuum tubes. (DX 658, pp. 19-
13 23.) The designers of the ENIAC consulted RCA's engineers in an
14 effort to develop "ultra reliable" tubes for the ENIAC computer.
15 (Eckert, Tr. 768.) Following the invention of the transistor,
16 RCA began research on possible transistor applications, recognizing
17 as early as 1952 that "substitution of transistors" for vacuum
18 tubes would permit the construction of computers "of greater
19 versatility and utility, as well as reducing their size and power
20 consumption." (DX 658, p. 13.)

21 RCA also pursued the development of core memory during
22 the early 1950s. In 1953 RCA employees wrote: "[r]ecently
23 ferrite materials have been developed which are suitable for use

24
25 * RCA continued to work on classified military projects
to develop electronic computers during the 1950s. (E.g., DX
659, p. 20; DX 661, pp. 34-35.)

1 as memory elements for large-scale electronic computers. A
2 memory unit capable of storing ten thousand bits of information
3 has been developed by RCA." (DX 659, p. 16.)*

4 Against that background it is plain, as Mr. Beard
5 acknowledged, that "in the early 1950's . . . RCA had the finan-
6 cial and technical capabilities successfully to develop, manu-
7 facture and market computers for commercial application".

8 (Beard, Tr. 8652.)

9 b. RCA Computer Developments 1956-1959. RCA did not
10 deliver a digital computer until 1956. (PX 344A, pp. 1-2.) In
11 that year, it delivered the BIZMAC, which was "a data-processing
12 giant" (PX 343, p. 3) with a purchase price of \$4 million. (DX
13 661, p. 21.) It had a small amount of core memory: approximately
14 28,000 cores. (Hurd, Tr. 88213.)

15 RCA developed BIZMAC for the Army and intended it to be
16 used for business-type applications: "stock control of replace-
17 ment parts for military combat and transport vehicles". (DX 661,
18 p. 21.) It was intended to "provide speedy and accurate infor-
19 mation on inventories, to determine in minutes the current supply
20 of any item at any Ordnance depot in the nation, and to compute
21 forecasts of future requirements." (Id.; see Beard, Tr. 8449-50.)

22
23 * In 1953 Dr. Rajchman of RCA realized that, having made a
24 10,000 core memory, the next important step would be a core
25 memory comprising "millions" of cores. To accomplish that goal
would "require great innovations in construction techniques and
still further improvements in magnetic switching." (PX 6091, p.
16.)

1 The BIZMAC took RCA "a lot of time and money to develop."

2 (McCollister, Tr. 9254-55.)

3 RCA shipped approximately six BIZMACs during the
4 1950s.* (Beard, Tr. 8710-11.) Withington testified that the
5 BIZMAC worked "relatively poorly" and classified the product as a
6 "failure". (Tr. 56507-08.)

7 Because of its size, the BIZMAC program kept RCA
8 "pretty well occupied up through the middle fifties and maybe
9 1956, 1957". (McCollister, Tr. 9255.) In 1958 RCA began work on
10 the 501. (Id.)

11 The 501 was, according to RCA's management, "the first
12 completely transistorized, general purpose electronic data
13 processing system". (PX 343, p. 1.) It was announced in December
14 1958** (id.), and first delivered in mid- to late 1959. (PX
15 114, p. 18.) It was Mr. Beard's understanding that only three
16 501s were delivered to customers outside of RCA during the 1950s.

17
18 * Customers included: Travelers' Insurance, New York Life,
19 Higbee Department Stores and The Army Tank and Automotive Command.
(Beard, Tr. 8658; McCollister, Tr. 9254; DX 662, p. 20; DX 664,
p. 18.)

20 ** "The 501 is the fifth of six new products which Mr. Burns
21 [RCA's President] said last May would be announced by RCA in
22 1958. The first four were a tape cartridge to provide stereophonic
23 music in the home, a line of stereo tape and record players, the
'Wireless Wizard' remote control for black-and-white and color
television receivers, and a two-way belt radio which transforms
the wearer into a 'walking radio station.'" (PX 343, p. 2.)

24 /RCA received orders for the 501 prior to its announcement.
25 (PX 343, p. 2.)

1 (Tr. 8711.)

2 While McCollister believed the 501 was a "competitive
3 system" and that it was "well designed by the standards of the
4 time" (Tr. 9542), RCA experienced difficulties with some peripherals.
5 The card reader and card punch equipment were "slow" and "un-
6 reliable", and the line printer "required a lot of maintenance";
7 its "print quality wasn't particularly good". (McCollister, Tr.
8 9542-43.)*

9 As of December 1959 RCA reported "commitments for
10 41" of its 501 systems. (PX 114, p. 5.) Nevertheless, because
11 the computer division had "optimistically scheduled production in
12 excess of what they were able to sell", more 501s were built than
13 were marketed. (McCollister, Tr. 9541-42.)

14 In the late 1950s, RCA was chosen as program manager
15 for the BMEWS project, a computer system commissioned by the
16 North American Air Defense Command to provide early warning of
17 any ballistic missile attack. (Beard, Tr. 8450-51, 8676.) Among
18 RCA's BMEWS subcontractors were IBM (which provided the main
19 CPUs--IBM 7090s), General Electric and Sylvania. (Beard, Tr.
20 8676.) RCA also developed computers of its own for the BMEWS
21 system, and RCA's subsequent commercial products made use of the

22
23 * The Social Security Administration was not satisfied with
24 the 501, and transferred its workload to an IBM 7080. (DX 5793,
25 p. 9; DX 7539, pp. 31-32.)

1 advances introduced in the BMEWS.*

2 During the late 1950s, RCA announced its third computer,
3 the 110 Industrial Control Computer. (Beard, Tr. 8660; PX 114,
4 p. 37.) RCA's Electronic Data Processing Division performed
5 the development work on the 110. (Beard, Tr. 9027-28.) According
6 to Beard the 110 differed from RCA's other computers in that it
7 was supplied with less software and was designed to operate in a
8 "more severe environment". (Tr. 8565-66.) The 110 was offered
9 as a "standard unit" that could be "modified readily" to accomplish
10 different functions and could be "supplied with a wide variety of
11 optional functions". (PX 114, p. 37.)**

12 Despite its substantial technological capabilities at
13 the beginning of the 1950s, RCA, by the end of the 1950s, had not
14 succeeded in establishing a substantial presence in the computer
15 industry. As late as December 1959, in a business review of
16 RCA's Electronic Data Processing Division, the company stated
17 that it was just "beginning to overcome the major obstacle which

18
19 * For example, the RCA 3301 computers used an improved version
20 of the electronic circuitry developed and designed for BMEWS; it
21 used some of the electrical packaging features of the BMEWS
22 computers. Also, the RCA 4100 used similar packaging and a
somewhat improved circuitry over that which had been used in
BMEWS; the 4100 was used by United Airlines to provide communica-
tions functions as part of an airlines reservations system.
(Beard, Tr. 8684-86, 8983-84.)

23 ** Modified RCA 110s (called 110As) were used by NASA as part
24 of the Saturn Missile Launch Computer Complex at the Kennedy
25 Space Center. (DX 5255, pp. 11-12.)

1 plagued us previously; namely, doubts as to RCA's seriousness in
2 the EDP business". (PX 114, p. 5.)

3 About this time RCA's management was "faced with a
4 decision as to what they should do about being in the computer
5 business". (McCollister, Tr. 9255.) Expressing one point of
6 view was RCA President John Burns, who felt that "in view of
7 RCA's technical capabilities and what appeared to be great growth
8 opportunities in the computer field, . . . this was a business . . .
9 . . . RCA should be in". (Id.) Pushing in the other direction was
10 RCA's desire to develop and commercialize color television. The
11 resulting battle for investment money within RCA began during the
12 1950s and continued through the 1960s, to the detriment of RCA's
13 computer related activities. As Beard testified concerning the
14 allocation of RCA's total corporate resources throughout the
15 1950s, there was a "greater total effort in television from the
16 engineering point of view than there was in the computer". (Tr.
17 8717.)

18 Production of peripheral products was limited in
19 this time frame. Thus, RCA's computer division decided to curtail
20 the development of peripherals in the late 1950s or early 1960s
21 in order

22 "[t]o concentrate RCA's investments in areas where
23 they felt they would get the most return and where it would
24 be possible to procure such things as printers, card readers,
25

1 and punchers from other manufacturers who were making them
2 available directly to other manufacturers". (Beard, Tr.
8998-99.)

3 c. RCA's Computer Developments 1960-1963. On April
4 13, 1960, RCA announced two new computer systems, the 601 and the
5 301. (PX 344A, p. 1.) RCA described the 601 as "an ultra-high
6 speed, general purpose EDP system . . . equally efficient for
7 massive business data processing and complex scientific computation"
8 (DX-562, p. 2; see Beard, Tr. 8958); the 301 was a "small to
9 medium size" computer. (Beard, Tr. 8454.)

10 McCollister described the 601 as a "disaster" (Tr.
11 9622):

12 (1) The manufacturing cost for the 601 turned
13 out to be "very, very substantially higher than the original
14 cost estimates upon which the pricing had been predicated".
15 If RCA had raised the price of the 601 to cover its costs,
16 the product would have been "uncompetitive". (McCollister,
17 Tr. 9543; Beard, Tr. 8458.)

18 (2) RCA had difficulty in providing "some
19 of the functional capabilities that had been originally
20 announced and specified in that system". For example, RCA
21 intended the 601 to be an "on line" and "multiprogramming
22 type of system". RCA's attempt to make the system operate
23 that way was "economically just a totally impractical thing
24 to do" and also "there was a big slowdown in being able to
25 accomplish these functions in a technical sense". (McCollister,

1 Tr. 9544.)

2 (3) RCA used coaxial cable to improve the performance
3 of the CPU. However, so many cables were used that:

4 "it was virtually a physical impossibility to
5 interconnect all of the points on the back side
6 of the machine that had to be interconnected".
(McCollister, Tr. 9544.)

7 John L. Jones, then employed at the Air Force Logistics
8 Command, observed the same problem:

9 "it required a large amount of special wiring and the
10 wiring got so thick on the back board, the back plane
11 of the machine, that they could no longer get down to
12 the pins to attach more wires through this layer of
13 wiring and there was still a large number of wire
14 connections that needed to be placed, and at that point
15 they gave up on delivering the RCA 601 on its original
16 schedule and, of course, that impacted the decision as
17 far as the [Air Force] Logistics Command was concerned.
18 And, in fact, what they had to do was to go back and
19 redesign a new type of very thin coaxial cable in order
20 to again come forward with the RCA 601." (Tr. 79347-
21 48.)

22 Thus, after marketing the 601 for a short time, RCA
23 realized that:

24 "there were severe technical problems, both in a functional
25 and in a manufacturing sense, and there were also severe
financial problems, so much so that the company began to
look for a way out of the program." (McCollister, Tr. 9544.)

In 1962, RCA stopped marketing the 601. At that time
it decided to honor the "present commitments that were made to
customers but not to sell any more". (Beard, Tr. 8457-58.)
McCollister believes that RCA manufactured only five 601s and
delivered only four. (McCollister, Tr. 9545.)

The aborted 601 program hurt RCA's computer business in
several respects. McCollister testified:

1 "[The 601] cost [RCA] money, from which we received no
2 worthwhile return, both from the manufacture and the develop-
3 ment expense, which was quite substantial, and it also lost
4 us time of engineering people because, while they were
5 working on that product, trying to salvage it within the
6 limits that had been established, they were unable to put
7 their efforts into the design of products that might have
8 had a more important business future." (Tr. 9624.)

9 The failure of the 601 "embarrassed" RCA. (Beard, Tr.
10 8723-24.)

11 "[I]t hurt [RCA's] reputation very badly, because we had
12 placed great public emphasis upon the 601 as a product and
13 its capabilities, and it hurt us with several important
14 customers." (McCollister, Tr. 9623.)

15 The failure of the 601 hurt RCA's ability to market its
16 other products because RCA "had counted on the 601 to fill the
17 upper end of the computer systems market." (Beard, Tr. 8724.)
18 The absence of the 601 "left a void for the 301 customers who
19 were looking to move into larger systems." (Beard, Tr. 8983.)*

20 The failure of the 601 cost RCA about "three or four
21 years" in development of its computer business. (McCollister,
22 Tr. 9362-63.)

23 RCA intended the 301 for "regular data processing type
24 work loads". (Beard, Tr. 8955.) It offered an enhancement to
25 the 301 processor, for about a 10% extra charge, that was intended
to assist the system in performing scientific applications.
Beard considered this "a plus factor" because:

* In September 1963 RCA announced an interim product, the 3301, which was a relatively large computer designed to substitute for the withdrawn 601. (Beard, Tr. 8455, 8983; McCollister, Tr. 9629.)

1 "the machine as used by the customers at that time had
2 to be looked at for both their data processing needs,
3 which generally were the primary needs, and the secondary
4 needs of engineering and scientific calculations".
5 (Beard, Tr. 8955.)

6 RCA experienced some success with the 301. According
7 to McCollister:

8 "[T]he 301 system was a successful product program and
9 . . . a strong product program, as the sales results of
10 the following years indicated." (Tr. 9622.)

11 The 301 System had some problems, particularly
12 with some of the peripheral products purchased from other
13 companies.* For example, RCA used a Bryant disk file on the
14 301. When it failed, "it took a long time to get the necessary
15 parts in to get the equipment back on the air, as much as
16 six hours or twelve hours". (Beard, Tr. 9009-10.) Withington
17 regarded the RCA 361 disk, used on the 301, as a "major
18 product failure" because of reliability problems. (Tr.
19 56508-09.) Another example is the printer RCA obtained from
20 Anelex, which, "for certain applications . . . had insufficient
21 . . . print quality". (Beard, Tr. 10323.)

22 RCA "effectively stopped selling" the 301 "somewhere
23 in 1964, '65." (Beard, Tr. 8457.)

24 By the end of 1961, RCA's EDP division "was in
25 considerable trouble. It had grown rapidly and it was incurring

* The peripheral products RCA purchased from other suppliers included IBM card readers and punches, Anelex printers, Farrington optical scanners and Bryant disk files. (McCollister, Tr. 9599-600.)

1 a substantial operating loss and, worst of all, it was in
2 severe technical difficulties." (McCollister, Tr. 9245-46.)

3 In 1962 RCA decided to resume developing and
4 manufacturing its own peripherals. According to Beard this
5 was done for two reasons:

6 "The first was that our experience with some of
7 our suppliers had not been entirely satisfactory.
8 Secondly, it was felt that resources were available to
9 expand the product development to include more work in
10 the peripheral area and that as a consequence of this
11 we would have control over the product characteristics,
12 such things as reliability, and certainly would be able
13 to enjoy a greater contributed value in the product,
14 and our manufacturing costs we expected to be less than
15 the purchase price we were paying to other people".
16 (Tr. 9003-04; see Tr. 8451.)

17 Stopping and then restarting its development of
18 peripheral products hurt RCA's product line:

19 "It certainly had an effect on how far
20 forward RCA was able to move in the development of
21 peripheral products. . . .

22 "But when RCA decided to redevelop its
23 products, it had lost the continuity of the engineering
24 effort that had been going on in such things as printers
25 and essentially had to reestablish its engineering
skills and manufacturing skills in those areas. So in
that sense time was lost by the early decision to
abandon these peripheral developments". (Beard, Tr.
9004.)

By the end of 1963 RCA's computer business had not
made up for its slow development in the late 1950s. As
McCollister testified, IBM made "greater strides" than RCA during
the 1950s "in the sense of a wider range of products and a larger
quantity of products delivered to customers". (Tr. 9552-53.)